1 2 3 4 5 JS - 6 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 WHITLEY INTERNATIONAL CO. Case No. CV 15-02855 DDP (JCx) LTD, a Virgin Islands ORDER DISMISSING CASE FOR LACK OF 12 Corporation, SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION 13 Plaintiff, 14 v. LESLIE ROSSI, an individual; CAR APPROVAL CORP., a New York 16 corporation;, 17 Defendants. 18 19 20 Plaintiff's Complaint asserts causes of action fraud and 21 breach of contract. Plaintiff asserted that there is federal 22 jurisdiction over this case based on diversity. 23 Federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction. Owen Equip. & Erection Co. v. Kroger, 437 U.S. 365, 374 (1978). 2.4 25 District courts have original jurisdiction over "all civil actions 26 where the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of 27 \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and is between citizens of different States." 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a). A federal court "is

presumed to lack jurisdiction in a particular case unless the contrary affirmatively appears." Stock West, Inc. v. Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation, 873 F.2d 1221, 1225 (9th Cir. 1989). Plaintiff here, as the party asserting federal jurisdiction, bears the burden of proving that the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000. In re Ford Motor Co./Citibank (South <u>Dakota)</u>, N.A., 264 F.3d 952, 957 (9th Cir. 2001). It does not appear that the jurisdictional amount is satisfied. Although the Complaint makes reference to damages "believed to exceed \$75,000," the nature of any such damages is

satisfied. Although the Complaint makes reference to damages "believed to exceed \$75,000," the nature of any such damages is unclear. Indeed, the Complaint alleges that only \$64,634.27 is owed under the contract. To the extent that Plaintiff intends punitive damages to make up the balance of the minimum amount in controversy, Plaintiff's bare claim to punitive damages in what appears to be, in essence, a breach of contract case does not suffice. See Brown v. Bury, No. 11-cv-01520-LJO-MJS, 2012 WL 112645 at *5 (E.D. Cal. Jan. 12, 2012)

Accordingly, the court dismisses the case for lack of jurisdiction. See Fiedler v. Clark, 714 F.2d 77, 78-79 (9th Cir. 1983) (per curiam) ("[A] federal court may dismiss sua sponte if jurisdiction is lacking.").

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 15, 2015

DEAN D. PREGERSON United States District Judge